

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/960,320	09/24/2001	James W. Jacobs JR.	8177.006.00	5341	
30827 75	90 03/28/2006		EXAM	INER	
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			THAI, C	THAI, CANG G	
1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3629		

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/960,320	JACOBS, JAMES W.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Cang G. Thai	3629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 November 2005</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the correct and the correct are considered to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Identified or b) objected to by the Identified or by the Ident	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This is in response to an amendment filed on 11/23/2005 for letter for patent filed on 09/24/2001. Claims 1-20 are pending in the letter.

Response to Arguments

The United States Patent and Trademark Office has considered the applicant's arguments filed on 11/23/2005, but has not found those arguments to be persuasive. Applicant argues that the prior art fail to teach the aspects of identifying key performance indicators, identifying metric data, selecting a subset of the information sources, the information sources remote from the subscription server, selecting a set of information sources from the first information source and the second information source or selecting the metric data. In particular, Druckenmiller teaches the aspect of identifying key performance indicators in Column 2, Lines 6-8. The e-mail address is the aspect of identifying key performance indicators because if provides a verification of the subscription. Druckenmiller teaches the aspect of identifying metric data in Column 2, Lines 4-5. The metric data is the aspect of input information such as entering e-mail address. Also, Druckenmiller teaches the aspect of selecting the information sources from the subscription server in Column 5-6. The potential subscribers access an electronic bulletin boar or Internet site would then select one or more subject areas of interest from the subscription server.

Application/Control Number: 09/960,320 Page 3

Art Unit: 3629

Status of Claims

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claim1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,167,435 (DRUCKENMILLER ET AL).

As for claim 1, DRUCKENMILLER discloses a method for providing key performance indicators to a subscriber via a subscription server and subscriber interface, comprising:

presenting a subscriber interface {Column 2, Lines 2-4, wherein this reads over "potential subscribers access an electronic bulletin board or Internet site"};

identifying in the subscriber interface subscriptions available from a plurality of information sources {Column 2, Lines 4-5, wherein this reads over "voluntarily subscribe to one or more mailing lists by entering their e-mail address"};

selecting a subset of the subscriptions in response to input from the subscriber interface {Column 2, Lines 5-6, wherein this reads over "selecting one or more subject areas of interest"};

Art Unit: 3629

identifying key performance indicators available from the subset of subscriptions {Column 2, Lines 6-8, wherein this reads over "once a subscription request is received, a unique alphanumeric token is generated and associated with the e-mail address"};

selecting key performance indicators from the selected subset of subscriptions in response to input from the subscriber interface {Column 2, Lines 8-9, wherein this reads over "the subscription request is then is added to a list of pending subscriptions"}; and

providing the selected key performance indicators via the user interface {Column 2, Lines 10-12, wherein this reads over "an automated verification message is generated and sent to the entered e-mail address to confirm the subscription"}.

As for claim 2, DRUCKENMILLER discloses the method of claim <u>1</u>, further comprising:

maintaining a record the selected key performance indicators {Column 2, Lines 12-13, wherein this reads over "the message indicates that a subscription request has been received and contains a unique token"}.

As for claim 3, DRUCKENMILLER discloses the method of claim 2, further comprising:

updating the record of selected metric data if an information source corresponding to the metric data updates the metric data {Column 6, Lines 17-18, wherein this reads over "the subscription address list are updated on a periodic basis"}.

As for claim 4, DRUCKENMILLER discloses the method of claim <u>1</u>, wherein the key performance indicators are maintained on at least one of the information source separate from the subscription server {Column 6, Lines 20-23, wherein this reads over

Art Unit: 3629

"the pending subscriptions are evaluated by processing entries in the signup queue 40, where each entry represents a subscription request which not yet been added to the any lists"}

As for claim 5, DRUCKENMILLER discloses the method of claim 4, wherein the subscription server receives key performance indicators from the information sources via a communication media {Column 6, Lines 30-33, wherein this reads over "the contents of the signup queue 40 represents the outstanding subscription requests, i.e., newly received subscription requests and older requests which were not previously confirmed"}.

As for claim 6, DRUCKENMILLER discloses the method of claim 5, wherein the communication media is the Internet {Column 4, Lines 4-6, wherein this reads over "the primary system 12 and subscription solicitation system 18 may be implemented using a conventional computer data server that is connected to the Internet"}.

As for claim $\underline{7}$, which has the same limitations as in claim $\underline{1}$, therefore, it is rejected for the similar reasons set forth in claim $\underline{1}$.

As for claim 8, which has the same limitation as in claim 2, therefore, it is rejected for the similar reasons set forth in claim 2.

As for claim 9, which has the same limitation as in claim 3, therefore, it is rejected for the similar reasons set forth in claim 3.

As for claim 10, which has the same limitation as in claim 4, therefore, it is rejected for the similar reasons set forth in claim 4.

Art Unit: 3629

As for claim 11, which has the same limitation as in claim 5, therefore, it is rejected for the similar reasons set forth in claim 5.

As for claim 12, which has the same limitation as in claim 6, therefore, it is rejected for the similar reasons set forth in claim 6.

As for claim <u>13</u>, DRUCKENMILLER discloses a system for providing metric information to a subscriber, comprising:

a subscription server for receiving information from a plurality of information sources in a standardized format, the subscription server having a user interface {See Fig. 1, Element 12}; and

at least one communication connection by which the subscription server is capable of being contacted by a user and by which the subscription server is capable of receiving information from a plurality of information sources {See Fig. 1, Element 14},

wherein the user interface allows the user to select a subset of the information sources, the information sources remote from the subscription server and the subscription server receiving metric information from the information sources {See Fig. 1, Element 11}.

As for claim 14, DRUCKENMILLER discloses the system of claim <u>13</u>, wherein the metric information is a key performance indicator {See Fig. 1, Element 42}.

As for claim 15, DRUCKENMILLER discloses the system of claim 13, wherein the at least one communication connection is made to the subscription server via the Internet {Column 4, Lines 4-6, wherein this reads over "the primary system 12 and

Art Unit: 3629

subscription solicitation system 18 may be implemented using a conventional computer data server that is connected to the Internet".

As for claim $\underline{16}$, which has the similar reasons set forth in claim $\underline{13}$, therefore, it is rejected for the similar reasons set forth in claim $\underline{13}$.

As for claim 17, which has the same limitation as in claim 14, therefore, it is rejected for the similar reasons set forth in claim 14.

As for claim 18, which has the same limitation as in claim 15, therefore, it is rejected for the similar reasons set forth in claim 15.

As for claim 19, DRUCKENMILLER discloses a method for providing data to a subscriber via a subscription server and user interface, comprising:

presenting a user interface {Column 2, Lines 2-4, wherein this reads over "potential subscribers access an electronic bulletin board or Internet site"};

identifying in the user subscriber interface data available from a plurality of information sources {Column 2, Lines 4-5, wherein this reads over "voluntarily subscribe to one or more mailing lists by entering their e-mail address"};

selecting a subset of the data in response to input from the user interface {Column 2, Lines 5-6, wherein this reads over "selecting one or more subject areas of interest"};

identifying metric data available from the subset of data {Column 2, Lines 6-8, wherein this reads over "once a subscription request is received, a unique alphanumeric token is generated and associated with the e-mail address"};

Art Unit: 3629

selecting metric data from the selected subset of data in response to input from the user interface {Column 2, Lines 8-9, wherein this reads over "the subscription request is then is added to a list of pending subscriptions"};

receiving the selected metric data {Column 2, Lines 6, wherein this reads over "once a subscription request is received"}; and

providing the selected metric data via the user interface {Column 2, Lines 10-12, wherein this reads over "an automated verification message is generated and sent to the entered e-mail address to confirm the subscription"}.

As for claim 20, which has the similar reasons set forth in claims 4 and 5, respectively, therefore, it is rejected for the similar reasons set forth in claim 4 and 5, respectively.

Conclusion

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/960,320 Page 9

Art Unit: 3629

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cang (James) G. Thai whose telephone number is (571) 272-6499. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM - 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (571) 272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CGT 02/24/2006 JOHN G. WEISS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600